

DAN BURTON, INDIANA  
CHAIRMAN

BENJAMIN A. GILMAN, NEW YORK  
J. DENNIS HASTERT, ILLINOIS  
CONSTANCE A. MORELLA, MARYLAND  
CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT  
STEVEN SCHIFF, NEW MEXICO  
CHRISTOPHER COX, CALIFORNIA  
ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA  
JOHN M. McHUGH, NEW YORK  
STEPHEN HORN, CALIFORNIA  
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA  
THOMAS M. DAVIS III, VIRGINIA  
DAVID M. MCINTOSH, INDIANA  
MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA  
JOE SCARBOROUGH, FLORIDA  
JOHN SHADDEG, ARIZONA  
STEVE C. LATOURETTE, OHIO  
MARSHALL "MARK" SANFORD, SOUTH CAROLINA  
JOHN E. SUNUNU, NEW HAMPSHIRE  
PETE SESSIONS, TEXAS  
MIKE PAPPAS, NEW JERSEY  
VINCE SNOWBARGER, KANSAS  
BOB BARR, GEORGIA  
BOB PORTMAN, OHIO

ONE HUNDRED FIFTH CONGRESS

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM AND OVERSIGHT

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225-5074  
MINORITY (202) 225-5051  
TTY (202) 225-6852

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA  
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA  
BOB WISE, WEST VIRGINIA  
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK  
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK  
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA  
GARY A. CONDIT, CALIFORNIA  
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK  
THOMAS M. BARRETT, WISCONSIN  
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
CHAKA FATTAH, PENNSYLVANIA  
ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND  
DENNIS KUCINICH, OHIO  
ROD R. BLAGOJEVICH, ILLINOIS  
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS  
JOHN F. TIERNEY, MASSACHUSETTS  
JIM TURNER, TEXAS  
THOMAS H. ALLEN, MAINE  
HAROLD E. FORD, JR., TENNESSEE

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT  
INDEPENDENT

July 17, 1998

Honorable Edward J. Gleiman  
Chairman, Postal Rate Commission  
1333 H Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20268-0001

Dear Chairman Gleiman:

At the Subcommittee hearing held last month, concerns were expressed regarding the Postal Service's most recent report on its cost and revenue data. Specifically, the Postal Service's Fiscal Year 1997 Cost and Revenue Analysis report does not apply the same definitions of attributable costs that have been used by the Postal Rate Commission to develop rates in any of the recent rate or reclassification cases. We are writing to request your assistance in investigating this matter.

Postal Service rates, except in very unusual circumstances, are based on attributable costs and markups established by the Postal Rate Commission. The Commission recently issued an Opinion recommending rate changes for all domestic mail classes and services based on evidence developed during extensive public hearings. As required by law, the rates for each class were designed to recover that portion of the Service's direct and indirect costs found to be attributable to that class, and a reasonable portion of the remaining, unattributed costs. From the standpoint of fairness and equity, mailers understandably have a strong interest in knowing the contribution that each subclass is making towards the institutional costs of the Postal Service.

Therefore, when mailers assess whether current and recommended rates meet the statutory rate policies as set out in Title 39, U.S. Code, and the specific rate criteria detailed in section 3622 (b) of that title, they must compare revenues from rates against the attributable costs for each subclass of service. However, as noted in our recent hearing, the Postal Service's most recent Cost and Revenue Analysis report does not apply the definitions of attributable costs used by the Commission to develop rates in any of the recent rate or reclassification cases.



Honorable Edward J. Gleiman  
page 2

We request that the Commission recast the results reported in the Fiscal Year 1997 Cost and Revenue Analysis report to show attributable costs by subclasses and services using the attribution methodologies it used to develop the rate and fee changes it recently recommended. If possible, please provide this information within thirty days. We are advising the Postmaster General of this request, and asking him to ensure that the Postal Service provides the Commission with any information or data that it might need to comply with this request expeditiously.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

With best wishes, we are

Sincerely yours,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Dan Burton, Chairman  
Committee on Government Reform  
and Oversight  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John M. McHugh, Chairman  
Subcommittee on the Postal Service

cc: Postal Rate Commissioners